UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,424 02/27/2004		Srinivasa Nagalla	39767-0003	5692
25213 HELLER EHRI	590 07/08/2008 /AN LLP	L	EXAMINER	
4350 La Jolla V	illage Drive, 7th Floor		DEJONG, ERIC S	
San Diego, CA	92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/789,424	NAGALLA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIC S. DEJONG	1631	

		ERIC S. DEJONG	1631	
The MAILING DAT	E of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 20	08 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a application, applicant mus application in condition for 	final rejection, but prior to or on t timely file one of the following i allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply exp b) The period for reply expi no event, however, will t	he statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINA Extensions of time may be obtained have been filed is the date for purpounder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated set forth in (b) above, if checked. A	L REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date obses of determining the period of ext from: (1) the expiration date of the s	 b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c). c). d). e). <li< td=""><td>36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic</td><td>e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as</td></li<>	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
filing the Notice of Appeal Notice of Appeal has been	(37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be to sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37 to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS				
(a)⊠ They raise new issu		out prior to the date of filing a brief, asideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
` ' = '	•	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) They present addition	onal claims without canceling a c uation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116	corresponding number of finally rejects and 41.33(a))	ected claims.	
_	•	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (DTOL-324)
	rcome the following rejection(s):		Impliant Americanient (i	10L-324).
	- · · ·	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
how the new or amended The status of the claim(s) Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>81</u> .	claims would be rejected is prov is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-80 ar</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from c				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence.	ence filed after a final action, but o provide a showing of good and	before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi		
entered because the affid	avit or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evi REQUEST FOR RECONSIDEF		n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsid see continuation sheet. 	eration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Inform 13. ☐ Other:	ation <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Eric S DeJong/		
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1631	

Continuation of Item 3. NOTE:

The proposed after Final amendment, filed 06/03/2008, contains significant amendments to the instant claims that, if entered, would require further consideration and/or search of the prior art. As set forth in the previous Office action, mailed 04/30/2008, claim 81 was objected to for being in improper form and was not further treated on the merits (see page 3, of said Office action). Applicants proposed after Final amendment to claim 81 appears sufficient to overcome the instant objection, however if said amendment were entered instant claim 81 would require further examination and/or search on its merits. Therefore, applicants proposed after Final amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of Item 11. NOTE:

The objections and rejection set forth in the previous Final Office action are maintained for reasons of record.

Claim 81 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper dependent form.

Claims 1-80 and 82-84 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

Claims 1-80 and 82-84 are rejected under 35 UCS 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-12, 63-80, and 82-84 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dancik et al. in view of Pevzner et al.

Claims 1-27, 63-80, and 82-84 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dancik et al. in view of Pevzner et al. in view of Mann et al.

Claims 1-80 and 82-84 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dancik et al. in view of Pevzner et al. in view of Mann et al. in view of Bader et al.

Applicants arguments, filed 06/03/2008, have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Said arguments are directed to proposed after Final claim amendments, filed 06/03/2008, that have not been entered onto the record. Therefore applicants arguments are not persuasive in regards to the claims of record, filed 07/02/2007. It is further noted that the proposed after Final amendment, if entered, would be sufficient to overcome the objection to claim 81 for improper dependent form and the rejection of claims 1-80 and 82-84 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.